

आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, जयपुर न्यायपीठ, जयपुर  
IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, JAIPUR BENCHES "A", JAIPUR  
श्री रमेश सी शर्मा, लेखा सदस्य एवं श्री विजय पाल राव, न्यायिक सदस्य के समक्ष  
BEFORE: SHRI RAMESH C SHARMA, AM & SHRI VIJAY PAL RAO, JM

आयकर अपील सं./ITA No. 248/JP/2019  
निर्धारण वर्ष / Assessment Year : 2006-07

A.C.I.T., Circle-2, Jaipur.	बनाम Vs.	M/s Gems Paradise, 45, Gulab Niwas, M.I. Road, Jaipur.
स्थायी लेखा सं./जीआईआर सं./PAN/GIR No.: AACFG 6830 A		
अपीलार्थी / Appellant		प्रत्यर्थी / Respondent

राजस्व की ओर से / Revenue by : Shri P.P. Meena (Addl.CIT)  
निर्धारिती की ओर से / Assessee by : Shri P.C. Parwal (CA)

सुनवाई की तारीख / Date of Hearing: 30/04/2019  
उदघोषणा की तारीख / Date of Pronouncement : 03/05/2019

आदेश / ORDER

PER: R.C. SHARMA, A.M.

This appeal by the revenue is directed against the order dated 06/12/2018 of Id. CIT(A)-I, Jaipur for the A.Y. 2006-07, wherein the only issue involved which is against not sustaining the addition @ 25.5% of total bogus purchases made by the A.O.

2. At the time of hearing, the Id. AR of the assessee has raised an objection of maintainability of the appeal of the Revenue due to the tax effect not exceeding Rs. 20 lacs as per the CBDT Circle No. 3 of 2018 dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018. The Id. A/R submitted that in the facts of the present case, tax effect in Revenue's appeal is stated to be below the prescribed limit of Rs. 20 lacs.

3. The Id. D/R has fairly submitted that the tax effect involved in the Revenue's appeal is less than 20 lacs which is prescribed threshold limit in terms of the CBDT Circular No. 3/2018 dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 issued in supersession of its earlier Circular No. 21 of 2015 dated 10.12.2015.

4. We have heard the rival contentions and perused the materials available on record. It is observed that the demand/ tax effect in the Revenue's appeal in question is below Rs. 20.00 lacs. Under the powers vested by section. 268A(1) of the I T Act, CBDT has recently issued Circular No. 3/2018 dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 (F No. 279/Misc. 142/2007-ITJ(Pt) instructing the authorities below that departmental appeal should not be filed before ITAT where the demand/tax effect does not exceed Rs. 20 lacs. The circular is specifically mentioned to be applicable for all pending appeals.

5. Subject to some exceptions, it is further directed by CBDT that all the departmental appeals pending before ITAT where the demand/tax effect is not exceeding than 20 lacs should be either withdrawn or not pressed by the departmental representatives.

6. The present appeal is not covered by any exceptions mentioned in the said CBDT circular. Since the tax demand in dispute in this departmental appeal is below the limit set out by CBDT for the appeal, the appeal of the assessee is not maintainable in view of CBDT Circular No. 3 of 2018 dated 11.07.2018. Accordingly, the appeal of the Department is dismissed as not pressed/withdrawn.

7. In the result, the appeal of the Revenue is dismissed.

Order pronounced in the open court on 03<sup>rd</sup> May, 2019.

Sd/-  
(विजय पाल राव)  
(VIJAY PAL RAO)  
न्यायिक सदस्य / Judicial Member

Sd/-  
(रमेश सी शर्मा)  
(RAMESH C SHARMA)  
लेखा सदस्य / Accountant Member

जयपुर / Jaipur

दिनांक / Dated:- 03<sup>rd</sup> May, 2019

\*Ranjan

आदेश की प्रतिलिपि अग्रेषित / Copy of the order forwarded to:

1. अपीलार्थी / The Appellant- The A.C.I.T., Circle-2, Jaipur.
2. प्रत्यर्थी / The Respondent- M/s Gems Paradise, Jaipur.
3. आयकर आयुक्त / CIT
4. आयकर आयुक्त / CIT(A)
5. विभागीय प्रतिनिधि, आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, जयपुर / DR, ITAT, Jaipur
6. गार्ड फाईल / Guard File (ITA No. 248/JP/2019)

आदेशानुसार / By order,

सहायक पंजीकार / Asst. Registrar